

# Supergravity Radiative Effects on Soft Terms and the $\mu$ Term

Kiwoon CHOI, Jae Sik LEE and Carlos MUÑOZ \*

*Department of Physics, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology,  
Taejeon 305-701, Korea*

## Abstract

We compute quadratically divergent supergravity one-loop effects on soft supersymmetry-breaking parameters and the  $\mu$  term in generic hidden sector supergravity models. These effects can significantly modify the matching condition for soft parameters at the Planck scale and also provide several new sources of the  $\mu$  term which are naturally of order the weak scale. We also discuss some phenomenological implications of these effects, particularly the violation of the scalar mass universality which may lead to dangerous FCNC phenomena, and apply the results to superstring effective supergravity models.

Typeset using REVTeX

---

\*Permanent address: Departamento de Física Teórica, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Cantoblanco, 28049 Madrid, Spain

Supergravity (SUGRA) models with a supersymmetry (SUSY)–breaking hidden sector provide an attractive theoretical scheme to explain the soft SUSY–breaking terms in the SUSY standard model [1]. If SUSY is broken at a scale  $M_S$  in such models, soft parameters have a scale of order  $m_{3/2} \approx M_S^2/M_P$  where  $m_{3/2}$  is the gravitino mass and  $M_P \approx 2.4 \times 10^{18}$  GeV denotes the reduced Planck mass. One then obtains the desired weak-scale soft terms if  $M_S$  has an intermediate scale value,  $M_S \approx 10^{10}$  GeV. Besides the soft parameters, the SUSY standard model contains another mass scale, the Higgsino mass  $\mu$ . Understanding why  $\mu$  has the weak scale value, not  $M_P$  for instance, has been often called the  $\mu$  problem. It has been known that hidden sector SUGRA models can accommodate mechanisms which lead to  $\mu \approx m_{3/2}$  in a natural manner, thereby solving the  $\mu$  problem [1].

Usual computation of the soft parameters and  $\mu$  in SUGRA models goes as follows. One first computes these parameters at the *tree level* of SUGRA interactions [2]. The results are then interpreted as a matching condition for the soft terms and the  $\mu$  term at  $M_P$  which corresponds to the scale of the messenger SUGRA interactions. Once the values at  $M_P$  are given by this tree–level matching condition, one takes account of the logarithmic running due to the renormalizable gauge and Yukawa interactions in order to find the value of the parameters at low–energy scales.

However, there can be a significant modification of the matching condition in this procedure due to the quantum corrections induced by non-renormalizable SUGRA interactions [3]. These SUGRA radiative corrections are quadratically divergent and thus they do not affect the logarithmic running, but alter the matching condition at the cutoff scale  $\Lambda \approx M_P$ . Note that essentially this amounts to the modification of the messenger SUGRA interactions and thus  $\Lambda$  has to be taken as the messenger scale  $M_P$  (or the string or compactification scale in string theory), *not* for instance the intermediate SUSY breaking scale  $M_S$ . In this paper, we wish to examine the SUGRA radiative effects on the soft parameters and  $\mu$  at  $M_P$  in generic hidden sector SUGRA models, and discuss their implications. As we will see, the modifications due to quadratically divergent SUGRA effects are qualitatively different from those due to the logarithmic running effects. For instance, even when the tree–level

matching condition gives a universal soft scalar mass at  $M_P$ , SUGRA radiative effects can significantly *spoil* the universality, which may lead to dangerous flavor changing neutral current (FCNC) phenomena at low energies. They also provide several *new* sources of the  $\mu$ -term which are naturally of order the weak scale. Taking account of SUGRA radiative effects will be particularly important in the case that the tree-level matching condition gives vanishing (or significantly smaller than  $m_{3/2}$ ) soft parameters and/or  $\mu$  at  $M_P$ . In this case, one-loop SUGRA effects can provide a leading contribution, *not* merely a subleading correction. The above aspects will be discussed later in the context of superstring effective supergravity models.

Our starting point is the bosonic part of the SUGRA Lagrangian related with the scalar fields, including the relevant one-loop correction [4]:

$$\begin{aligned}
g^{-1/2}\mathcal{L} = & \left[ K_{I\bar{J}} + \frac{\Lambda^2}{16\pi^2}(R_{I\bar{J}} - 2K_{I\bar{J}}) \right] \partial_\mu \phi^I \partial^\mu \bar{\phi}^{\bar{J}} \\
& - \left[ 1 + \frac{\Lambda^2}{16\pi^2}(N_c - 5) \right] e^G (G_I K^{I\bar{J}} G_{\bar{J}} - 3) \\
& - \frac{\Lambda^2}{16\pi^2} e^G \left[ (N_c - 1) - K^{I\bar{L}} G_{\bar{L}} R_{I\bar{J}} G_M K^{M\bar{J}} \right] , \tag{1}
\end{aligned}$$

where the Kähler function  $G = K + \log |W|^2$  is a combination of the real Kähler potential  $K(\phi^I, \bar{\phi}^{\bar{I}})$  and the holomorphic superpotential  $W(\phi^I)$ ,  $G_I \equiv \partial_I G \equiv \partial G / \partial \phi^I$ , the matrix  $K^{I\bar{J}}$  is the inverse of the Kähler metric  $K_{I\bar{J}} \equiv \partial_I \partial_{\bar{J}} K$ , and finally  $N_c$  denotes the total number of chiral multiplets in the model. To simplify the notation, we have introduced a generalized Ricci tensor

$$R_{I\bar{J}} = \partial_I \partial_{\bar{J}} \ln [\det(K_{L\bar{M}}) / \det(\text{Re} f_{ab})] , \tag{2}$$

where  $f_{ab}(\phi^I)$  is the holomorphic gauge kinetic function, with  $a, b$  denoting gauge indices. Here we ignore the  $D$ -part of the scalar potential with the assumption that SUSY is broken by the  $F$ -components of some hidden sector fields. The pieces proportional to  $\Lambda^2$  represent the quadratically divergent SUGRA one-loop corrections which will modify the matching conditions for the soft parameters and  $\mu$  at  $\Lambda \approx M_P$ . Note that the logarithmically divergent

pieces can be properly taken into account by the well known renormalization group running. Unless explicitly specified, we will use throughout this paper the standard SUGRA mass unit in which  $M_P = 1$ , and thus for instance  $\Lambda$  denotes the cutoff scale in this unit.

In hidden sector SUGRA models, it is convenient to split the chiral multiplets into two categories, the hidden sector multiplets  $\{h^m\}$  and the observable sector multiplets  $\{C^\alpha\}$  [5]. The hidden sector fields develop large vacuum expectation values (VEVs)  $\approx M_P$  and are responsible for SUSY breaking if some of their auxiliary components develop non-vanishing VEVs. On the other hand, the observable sector fields have the VEVs  $\ll M_P$ , allowing an expansion of  $K$ ,  $W$  and  $f_{ab}$  in powers of  $C^\alpha$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
K &= \hat{K} + \tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} C^\alpha \bar{C}^{\bar{\beta}} + \frac{1}{4} \tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} C^\alpha \bar{C}^{\bar{\beta}} C^\gamma \bar{C}^{\bar{\delta}} \\
&\quad + \left[ \frac{1}{2} Z_{\alpha\beta} C^\alpha C^\beta + \frac{1}{2} Z_{\alpha\beta\bar{\gamma}} C^\alpha C^\beta \bar{C}^{\bar{\gamma}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{6} Z_{\alpha\beta\gamma\bar{\delta}} C^\alpha C^\beta C^\gamma \bar{C}^{\bar{\delta}} + h.c. \right] + \dots, \\
W &= \hat{W} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\mu}_{\alpha\beta} C^\alpha C^\beta + \frac{1}{6} \tilde{Y}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} C^\alpha C^\beta C^\gamma + \dots, \\
f_{ab} &= \hat{f}_{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{f}_{ab\alpha\beta} C^\alpha C^\beta + \dots,
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where the ellipsis denote the terms which are irrelevant for the present calculation. Note that the coefficient functions in  $K$  depend upon both  $h^m$  and  $\bar{h}^{\bar{m}}$ , while the coefficient functions in  $W$  and  $f_{ab}$  are holomorphic functions of  $h^m$  only. It is well known that the quadratic terms associated with  $Z_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $\tilde{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}$  are relevant for the  $\mu$  term [1]. As we will discuss below, when SUGRA corrections are included, the cubic and quartic terms associated with  $Z_{\alpha\beta\bar{\gamma}}$  and  $Z_{\alpha\beta\gamma\bar{\delta}}$ , and the quadratic terms associated with  $\tilde{f}_{ab\alpha\beta}$  are also relevant for  $\mu$ .

Then integrating out the hidden sector fields in the Lagrangian (1), we find the kinetic terms and the soft SUSY-breaking scalar potential of the observable sector fields as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} &= K'_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \partial_\mu C^\alpha \partial^\mu \bar{C}^{\bar{\beta}} - m_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}'^2 C^\alpha \bar{C}^{\bar{\beta}} \\
&\quad - \left[ \frac{1}{2} B'_{\alpha\beta} C^\alpha C^\beta + \frac{1}{6} A'_{\alpha\beta\gamma} C^\alpha C^\beta C^\gamma + h.c. \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where the kinetic coefficients are given by

$$K'_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} + \frac{\Lambda^2}{16\pi^2}(R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - 2\tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}) , \quad (5)$$

and the primes in soft parameters mean that they are defined for *un-normalized* fields. The soft masses and  $A$ -coefficients are

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}'^2 = & V_1 \tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} + \left( m_{3/2}^2 \tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - F^m R_{m\bar{n}\alpha\bar{\beta}} \bar{F}^{\bar{n}} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{(N_c - 5)\Lambda^2}{16\pi^2} \right) \\ & - \frac{\Lambda^2}{16\pi^2} \left[ m_{3/2}^2 R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} + m_{3/2} F^m D_m R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} + m_{3/2} \bar{F}^{\bar{n}} D_{\bar{n}} R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \right. \\ & \left. + F^m \left( D_m D_{\bar{n}} R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - R_{\bar{n}}^{\bar{l}} R_{m\bar{l}\alpha\bar{\beta}} - R_m^{\bar{l}} R_{l\bar{n}\alpha\bar{\beta}} + R_{\alpha}^{\gamma} R_{m\bar{n}\gamma\bar{\beta}} \right) \bar{F}^{\bar{n}} \right] , \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A'_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = & e^{\hat{K}/2} \frac{\hat{W}^*}{|\hat{W}|} \left\{ F^m \left( \tilde{Y}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \partial_m \hat{K} + D_m \tilde{Y}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{(N_c - 5)\Lambda^2}{16\pi^2} \right) \right. \\ & - \frac{\Lambda^2}{16\pi^2} \left[ F^m R_m^n \left( \tilde{Y}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \partial_n \hat{K} + D_n \tilde{Y}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \right) + \tilde{K}^{\delta\bar{\rho}} F^m \left( D_m R_{\bar{\rho}(\alpha} \tilde{Y}_{\beta\gamma)\delta} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - m_{3/2} \left( (N_c - 1) \tilde{Y}_{\alpha\beta\gamma} - R_{(\alpha}^{\delta} \tilde{Y}_{\beta\gamma)\delta} \right) \right] \right\} , \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where  $m_{3/2} = e^{G/2}$  and  $F^m = e^{G/2} \hat{K}^{m\bar{n}} G_{\bar{n}}$  denote the hidden field auxiliary components for  $\hat{K}^{m\bar{n}}$  being the inverse of  $\hat{K}_{\bar{n}m} \equiv \partial_m \partial_{\bar{n}} \hat{K}$ . We recall that we are using the unit with  $M_P = 1$ , which applies also for the cut off  $\Lambda$ . The (generalized) Riemann and Ricci tensors that appear in the above are given by

$$\begin{aligned} R_{m\bar{n}\alpha\bar{\beta}} &= \partial_m \partial_{\bar{n}} \tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} - \tilde{K}^{\gamma\bar{\delta}} (\partial_m \tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\delta}}) (\partial_{\bar{n}} \tilde{K}_{\gamma\bar{\beta}}) , \\ R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} &= \hat{K}^{m\bar{n}} R_{m\bar{n}\alpha\bar{\beta}} - \tilde{K}^{\gamma\bar{\mu}} \tilde{K}^{\nu\bar{\delta}} Z_{\alpha\gamma\bar{\delta}} Z_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\beta}\nu} \\ &\quad + \tilde{K}^{\gamma\bar{\delta}} \tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}} - \tilde{K}^{\gamma\bar{\delta}} \hat{K}^{m\bar{n}} \partial_{\bar{n}} Z_{\alpha\gamma} \partial_m Z_{\bar{\delta}} , \\ R_{m\bar{n}} &= \partial_m \partial_{\bar{n}} \ln[\det(K_{p\bar{q}})/\det(\text{Ref}_{ab})] + \tilde{K}^{\gamma\bar{\delta}} R_{m\bar{n}\gamma\bar{\delta}} , \\ R_m^{\bar{l}} &= (R_{\bar{m}}^{\bar{l}})^* = \hat{K}^{\bar{l}\bar{m}} R_{m\bar{m}} , \quad R_{\alpha}^{\gamma} = \tilde{K}^{\gamma\bar{\beta}} R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} , \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where  $\tilde{K}^{\bar{\beta}\alpha}$  is the inverse of  $\tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ ,  $Z_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma} \equiv (Z_{\alpha\beta\bar{\gamma}})^*$  and  $Z_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \equiv (Z_{\alpha\beta})^*$ . The symmetrized subscript in (7) means a cyclic sum, i.e.  $(\alpha\beta\gamma) = \alpha\beta\gamma + \beta\gamma\alpha + \gamma\alpha\beta$  and the Kähler covariant derivative  $D_m = (D_{\bar{m}})^*$  is defined as  $D_m H_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p \bar{\beta}_1 \dots \bar{\beta}_q} = \partial_m H_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p \bar{\beta}_1 \dots \bar{\beta}_q} - \Gamma_{m\alpha_1}^{\alpha'_1} H_{\alpha'_1 \dots \alpha_p \bar{\beta}_1 \dots \bar{\beta}_q} - \dots - \Gamma_{m\alpha_p}^{\alpha'_p} H_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{p-1} \bar{\beta}_1 \dots \bar{\beta}_q}$  for the Kähler connection  $\Gamma_{m\alpha}^{\gamma} = \tilde{K}^{\gamma\bar{\rho}} \partial_m \tilde{K}_{\bar{\rho}\alpha}$ . (Similarly,  $D_{\bar{m}}$  includes only  $\Gamma_{\bar{m}\alpha}^{\bar{\gamma}} = (\Gamma_{m\alpha}^{\gamma})^*$  which applies only for  $\bar{\beta}_1, \dots, \bar{\beta}_q$ .) Finally,  $V_1$  in the soft scalar masses (6)

denotes the vacuum energy density including the quadratically-divergent one-loop correction:

$$V_1 = \left( \overline{F}^{\overline{m}} \hat{K}_{\overline{m}n} F^n - 3m_{3/2}^2 \right) \left( 1 + \frac{(N_c - 5)\Lambda^2}{16\pi^2} \right) + \frac{\Lambda^2}{16\pi^2} \left[ m_{3/2}^2 (N_c - 1) - F^m R_{m\overline{n}} \overline{F}^{\overline{n}} \right]. \quad (9)$$

The observed vanishing cosmological constant implies that one can set  $V_1 = 0$  [6].

In (6) and (7), the pieces proportional to  $\Lambda^2$  correspond to the one-loop SUGRA modification of the matching conditions for the soft parameters at  $M_P$ . These SUGRA corrections appear to be sensitive to  $\Lambda$  whose precise value can be determined only when the underlying theory of the SUGRA model is known. In the case that the underlying theory is a string theory,  $\Lambda$  would be either of order the string scale  $M_{\text{st}} = \frac{1}{2}g_{GUT}M_P$  or of order the compactification scale  $M_c$  which is very close to  $M_{\text{st}}$  in weakly-coupled heterotic string theory [9]. In the  $M$ -theory limit of strong string coupling,  $M_c$  would be lower, but it was argued that generically  $M_c \gtrsim \alpha_{GUT}\sqrt{8\pi}M_P$  [10] and thus  $M_c$  is around  $M_{\text{st}}$  even in the  $M$ -theory limit. Based on these observations, in the following we will use  $\Lambda = \frac{1}{2}g_{GUT}M_P$  when we estimate the size of SUGRA corrections. As can be easily noted, SUGRA corrections involve the summation over the chiral multiplets. For instance,  $R_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}$  in (8) involves the summation of the coefficients  $R_{m\overline{n}\alpha\overline{\beta}}$  and  $\tilde{K}_{\alpha\overline{\beta}\gamma\overline{\delta}}$ . Similarly  $R_{m\overline{n}}$  involves the summation of the coefficients  $R_{m\overline{n}\alpha\overline{\beta}}$ . Since these coefficients are generically of order one in the unit with  $M_P = 1$ , the SUGRA one-loop corrections in the soft scalar mass and  $A$  are generically  $\mathcal{O}(\frac{N_c\Lambda^2}{16\pi^2 M_P^2} m_{3/2})$  *unless* some cancellations take place. (See also the pieces in (6) and (7) which include explicitly the factor  $N_c$ . It is worth noticing that the gauge kinetic function piece in  $R_{m\overline{n}}$  can give a contribution of  $\mathcal{O}(\frac{N_v\Lambda^2}{16\pi^2 M_P^2} m_{3/2})$  where  $N_v$  is the total number of vector multiplets.) Since  $N_c$  can be large as  $\mathcal{O}(10^2)$  ( $N_c > 49$  since the minimal supersymmetric standard model contains already 49 observable chiral multiplets), these SUGRA corrections are expected to be quite significant, for instance  $\mathcal{O}(10\%)$  corrections for  $\Lambda = \frac{1}{2}g_{GUT}M_P$  [11]. They would be particularly important if  $m_{3/2}^2 \tilde{K}_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} = F^m R_{m\overline{n}\alpha\overline{\beta}} \overline{F}^{\overline{n}}$  and thus the tree-level matching conditions, i.e. setting  $\Lambda = 0$  in (6), give vanishing soft scalar masses. This indeed happens

in no-scale SUGRA models and also in some special limits of superstring effective SUGRA models which will be discussed later. In this case, the major part of soft scalar masses may arise from the one-loop SUGRA effects in (6).

One of the interesting features of the above SUGRA corrections is the *lack of universality*. If the Riemann tensor can be factorized as  $R_{m\bar{n}\alpha\bar{\beta}} = c_{m\bar{n}}\tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ , as it happens e.g. in the dilaton-dominated SUSY breaking in string theory or in no-scale SUGRA models, the tree-level matching conditions would give a universal soft scalar mass for the *normalized* observable fields with canonical kinetic terms. But now we see that due to SUGRA radiative corrections, particularly due to the pieces depending upon  $Z_{\alpha\beta\bar{\gamma}}$  and  $\tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}\gamma\bar{\delta}}$  in (8), the soft scalar masses will have a generic matrix structure with non-degenerate eigenvalues [12]. So, even when tree-level soft masses are universal, FCNC effects may appear as a consequence of this modification of the matching condition. Note that this is completely independent of the FCNC effects arising from the running of soft masses induced by the Yukawa interactions. Of course, if soft masses are already non-universal at tree level, SUGRA corrections are an extra source of non-universality to be added.

Let us now discuss the  $\mu$  term and the related  $B$  coefficient. The effective superpotential (after the hidden sector fields are integrated out) will include the  $\mu$  term:  $W_{\text{eff}} \ni \frac{1}{2}\mu'_{\alpha\beta}C^\alpha C^\beta$ . (Again the prime in  $\mu$  means that it is defined for *un-normalized*  $C^\alpha$  in (4).) The *supersymmetric* scalar masses resulting from this  $\mu$ -term are given by  $K'^{\gamma\bar{\delta}}\mu'_{\alpha\gamma}\mu'^*_{\beta\bar{\delta}}$  where  $K'^{\gamma\bar{\delta}}$  is the inverse of  $K'_{\gamma\bar{\delta}}$  in (5). We then find

$$\begin{aligned} \mu'_{\alpha\beta} = & \left( e^{\hat{K}/2} \frac{\hat{W}^*}{|\hat{W}|} \tilde{\mu}_{\alpha\beta} + m_{3/2} Z_{\alpha\beta} - \bar{F}^{\bar{n}} \partial_{\bar{n}} Z_{\alpha\beta} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{(N_c - 7)\Lambda^2}{32\pi^2} \right) \\ & - \frac{\Lambda^2}{16\pi^2} \left\{ \bar{F}^{\bar{n}} \left[ \partial_{\bar{n}} (\hat{K}^{p\bar{q}} D_p \partial_{\bar{q}} Z_{\alpha\beta}) - R_{\bar{n}}^{\bar{l}} \partial_{\bar{l}} Z_{\alpha\beta} + \partial_{\bar{n}} (\tilde{K}^{\gamma\bar{\delta}} (Z_{\alpha\beta\gamma\bar{\delta}} - \tilde{K}^{\rho\bar{\sigma}} Z_{\alpha\rho\bar{\delta}} Z_{\beta\gamma\bar{\sigma}})) \right] \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_a g_a^2 M_a^* \tilde{f}_{a\alpha\beta} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where  $M_a = \frac{1}{2}g_a^2 F^m \partial_m \hat{f}_a$  denotes the  $a$ -th *normalized* gaugino mass and we have assumed that the gauge kinetic function is diagonal in gauge indices, i.e.  $f_{ab} = \delta_{ab} f_a$ , as is the case in all interesting models.

The above result shows that the one-loop SUGRA effects proportional to  $\Lambda^2$  do not only modify the already known contributions from  $\tilde{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $Z_{\alpha\beta}$  in the tree-level matching condition, but also provide *new* sources of the  $\mu$  term depending upon the coefficients  $Z_{\alpha\beta\bar{\gamma}}$  and  $Z_{\alpha\beta\gamma\bar{\delta}}$  in the Kähler potential and also the coefficients  $\tilde{f}_{ab\alpha\beta} = \delta_{ab}\tilde{f}_{a\alpha\beta}$  in the gauge kinetic function (3). Under the natural assumption that these coefficients are of order one in the unit with  $M_P = 1$ , the new contributions from  $Z_{\alpha\beta\bar{\gamma}}$  and  $Z_{\alpha\beta\gamma\bar{\delta}}$  are of  $\mathcal{O}(\frac{N_c\Lambda^2}{16\pi^2 M_P^2} m_{3/2})$ , while the contribution from  $\tilde{f}_{a\alpha\beta}$  is of  $\mathcal{O}(\frac{N_v\Lambda^2}{16\pi^2 M_P^2} M_a)$ , and thus they are naturally of order the weak scale. We stress that our mechanism generating  $\mu$  from the gauge kinetic function, i.e. the last piece of (10), is different from the mechanism of [13] which uses a hidden sector gauge kinetic function  $f_h$  as the origin of  $\mu$ . The mechanism of [13] applies only when SUSY is broken by the gaugino condensation generating a nonperturbative superpotential  $W_{\text{np}} \propto e^{-cf_h}$ , and thus in our context, it corresponds to generating the coefficient  $\tilde{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}$  in the SUGRA superpotential. The  $B$  coefficients related with the above  $\mu'_{\alpha\beta}$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
B'_{\alpha\beta} = & V_1 Z_{\alpha\beta} + \left\{ e^{\hat{K}/2} \frac{\hat{W}^*}{|\hat{W}|} \left[ F^m (\mu_{\alpha\beta} \partial_n \hat{K} + D_n \mu_{\alpha\beta}) - m_{3/2} \mu_{\alpha\beta} \right] + 2m_{3/2}^2 Z_{\alpha\beta} \right. \\
& - m_{3/2} \bar{F}^{\bar{n}} \partial_{\bar{n}} Z_{\alpha\beta} + m_{3/2} F^m D_n Z_{\alpha\beta} - F^m \bar{F}^{\bar{n}} D_m \partial_{\bar{n}} Z_{\alpha\beta} \left. \right\} \left( 1 + \frac{(N_c - 5)\Lambda^2}{16\pi^2} \right) \\
& - \frac{\Lambda^2}{16\pi^2} \left\{ e^{\hat{K}/2} \frac{\hat{W}^*}{|\hat{W}|} \left[ F^m R_m^n (\mu_{\alpha\beta} \partial_n \hat{K} + D_n \mu_{\alpha\beta}) + F^m (D_m R_{(\alpha}^\gamma) \mu_{\beta)\gamma} \right. \right. \\
& - m_{3/2} (\mu_{\alpha\beta} (N_c - 1) - R_{(\alpha}^\gamma \mu_{\beta)\gamma}) \left. \right] + m_{3/2}^2 R_{(\alpha}^\gamma Z_{\beta)\gamma} + m_{3/2} F^m [R_m^n D_n Z_{\alpha\beta} + (D_m R_{(\alpha}^\gamma) Z_{\beta)\gamma}] \\
& - m_{3/2} \bar{F}^{\bar{m}} [R_{\bar{m}}^{\bar{n}} \partial_{\bar{n}} Z_{\alpha\beta} - 2\partial_{\bar{m}} (\hat{K}^{p\bar{q}} D_p \partial_{\bar{q}} Z_{\alpha\beta}) + R_{\alpha}^\gamma \partial_{\bar{m}} Z_{\beta\gamma} + R_{\beta}^\gamma \partial_{\bar{m}} Z_{\alpha\gamma}] \\
& - F^m \bar{F}^{\bar{n}} [R_{\bar{n}}^{\bar{q}} D_m \partial_{\bar{q}} Z_{\alpha\beta} + R_m^p D_p \partial_{\bar{n}} Z_{\alpha\beta} - 2D_m \partial_{\bar{n}} (\hat{K}^{p\bar{q}} D_p \partial_{\bar{q}} Z_{\alpha\beta}) \\
& + (D_m R_{\alpha}^\gamma) \partial_{\bar{n}} Z_{\beta\gamma} + (D_m R_{\beta}^\gamma) \partial_{\bar{n}} Z_{\alpha\gamma}] \left. \right\} \\
& - \frac{\Lambda^2}{16\pi^2} \left\{ \bar{F}^{\bar{n}} (F^m D_m D_{\bar{n}} + 2m_{3/2} \partial_{\bar{n}}) [\tilde{K}^{\gamma\bar{\delta}} (Z_{\alpha\beta\gamma\bar{\delta}} - \tilde{K}^{\rho\bar{\sigma}} Z_{\alpha\rho\bar{\delta}} Z_{\beta\gamma\bar{\sigma}})] \right\} \\
& + \frac{\Lambda^2}{16\pi^2} \left\{ \sum_a g_a^2 [2|M_a|^2 \tilde{f}_{a\alpha\beta} - M_a^* F^m D_m \tilde{f}_{a\alpha\beta} - 2m_{3/2} M_a^* \tilde{f}_{a\alpha\beta}] \right\}. \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the last two pieces proportional to  $\Lambda^2$  are related with the new sources of the  $\mu$  term. Similar comments to those below (9) with respect to the soft masses and  $A$  coefficients can be applied to the  $B$  coefficients.

The canonically normalized observable fields can be obtained by the transformation  $C^\alpha \rightarrow \omega^{1/2} U_{\alpha\beta} C^\beta$  under which the kinetic coefficients go to the identity matrix:  $U_{\alpha\gamma} K'_{\gamma\bar{\delta}} U_{\beta\bar{\delta}}^* = \delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$  and  $\omega^{1/2}$  ( $\omega = 1 + \frac{\Lambda^2}{32\pi^2} (N_c - N_v + 1)$ ) is due to the Weyl scaling introduced to make the graviton kinetic term including the SUGRA one-loop correction to be the canonical form, i.e.  $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{g}\omega\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{g}\mathcal{R}$ . Then the soft and  $\mu$  parameters for the *normalized* fields are given by

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}^2 &= \omega^{-1} U_{\alpha\gamma} m_{\gamma\bar{\delta}}'^2 U_{\beta\bar{\delta}}^* , \\ H_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} &= \omega^{-d_H/2} U_{\alpha_1\beta_1} \dots U_{\alpha_p\beta_p} H'_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_p} , \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where  $H_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_p} = (A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, B_{\alpha\beta}, \mu_{\alpha\beta})$  and  $d_H$  is its mass-dimension. Note that the above transformation (12) toward the normalized fields provides an additional source of non-universality in soft masses.

The analysis of [4] shows that the kinetic coefficients of gauge fields do *not* receive any quadratically-divergent SUGRA correction. Since gaugino masses are related with the kinetic coefficients of superpartner gauge fields, this implies that the matching condition for gaugino masses is affected by the one-loop SUGRA effects *only* through the Weyl scaling  $M_a \rightarrow \omega^{-1/2} M_a$ . This completes our discussion of the one-loop SUGRA modification of the matching conditions for  $\mu$  and the soft parameters in generic hidden sector SUGRA models.

Let us now apply our general results to the case of superstring effective SUGRA models [1] in which the dilaton field  $S$  and the moduli fields  $T_i$  play the role of SUSY-breaking hidden sector fields. At string tree level, the gauge kinetic function is simply given by  $f_a = k_a S$  where  $k_a$  is the Kac-Moody level of the gauge factor. Since the Kähler potential depends on the compactification scheme, we will concentrate here on (0,2) symmetric Abelian orbifolds with diagonal moduli and matter metrics. (However our main conclusions will not depend on the particular compactification scheme used.) For this class of models, some coefficients of the Kähler potential in (3) have been computed. In particular, at string tree level  $\hat{K} = -\log(S + \bar{S}) - \sum_i \log(T_i + \bar{T}_i)$  and  $\tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \Pi_i (T_i + \bar{T}_i)^{n_\alpha^i}$ , where  $n_\alpha^i$  are the modular weights of the matter fields  $C^\alpha$ . Although not computed explicitly yet, one can imagine the

following modular-invariant form of  $\tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}\beta\bar{\delta}} : \tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\gamma}\beta\bar{\delta}} = \delta_{\alpha\gamma}\delta_{\beta\delta}X_{\alpha\beta}\Pi_i(T_i + \bar{T}_i)^{n_\alpha^i}\Pi_j(T_j + \bar{T}_j)^{n_\beta^j}$ , where  $X_{\alpha\beta}$  are constant coefficients of order one. We then find from (6) and (12) with  $U_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}\Pi_i(T_i + \bar{T}_i)^{-n_\alpha^i/2}[1 - \frac{\Lambda^2}{32\pi^2}(\sum_\gamma X_{\alpha\gamma} - \sum_i n_\alpha^i - 2)]$ , the diagonal soft scalar masses for *normalized* observable fields:

$$m_\alpha^2 = \left( m_{3/2}^2 + \sum_i \frac{n_\alpha^i}{(T_i + \bar{T}_i)^2} |F^i|^2 \right) \left( 1 + \frac{(N_c + N_v - 7)\Lambda^2}{32\pi^2} \right) - \frac{\Lambda^2}{16\pi^2} \left[ \left( \sum_\gamma X_{\alpha\gamma} - \sum_i n_\alpha^i \right) 2m_{3/2}^2 + 2 \sum_i \frac{n_\alpha^i}{(T_i + \bar{T}_i)^2} \left( 2 - \sum_\gamma n_\gamma^i \right) |F^i|^2 \right], \quad (13)$$

where  $F^i$  denote the VEVs of the moduli auxiliary fields.

One can now see more explicitly some of the interesting features of the one-loop SUGRA corrections which were discussed in the framework of the general formula (6) for the soft scalar masses. For instance even when the tree-level matching condition in (13) leads to a universal soft mass, which would be the case if all  $C^\alpha$  have the same modular weight or if all  $F^i = 0$  (this corresponds to the case of dilaton-dominated SUSY breaking [14,15]), the SUGRA corrections depending upon  $X_{\alpha\gamma}$  are *no longer universal* [16]. Also in the limit that tree-level soft masses are vanishing, which can happen in the moduli-dominated SUSY breaking if SUSY breaking is equally shared among  $T_i$ 's and one considers untwisted particles, SUGRA one-loop effects in (13) give  $m_\alpha^2 = \mathcal{O}(\frac{N_c\Lambda^2}{16\pi^2 M_P^2} m_{3/2}^2) \approx 10^{-1} m_{3/2}^2$  for  $\Lambda = \frac{1}{2} g_{GUT} M_P$ . We stress that this SUGRA correction can be much more important than the string one-loop effects which would give  $m_\alpha^2 \approx 10^{-3} m_{3/2}^2$  by modifying the dilaton-dependent term in  $\hat{K}$  [15].

**Acknowledgments:** This work is supported in part by the Brainpool Program of KOSEF (CM), Distinguished Scholar Exchange Program of KRF (KC), and Basic Science Research Institutes Program BSRI-97-2434 (KC).

## REFERENCES

- [1] For a recent review, see: A. Brignole, L.E. Ibáñez and C. Muñoz, *hep-ph/9707209*, to appear in the book ‘Perspectives on Supersymmetry’, World Scientific, and references therein.
- [2] S.K. Soni and H.A. Weldon, *Phys. Lett.* **B126**, 215 (1983).
- [3] N.V. Krasnikov, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A27**, 2579 (1993).
- [4] M.K. Gaillard and V. Jain, *Phys. Rev.* **D49**, 1951 (1994); M.K. Gaillard, *Phys. Lett.* **B342**, 125 (1995).
- [5] Precisely speaking,  $\{C^\alpha\}$  stand for all chiral multiplets with  $\text{VEV} \ll M_P$ , independently of whether they have a renormalizable coupling with the SUSY standard model sector or not.
- [6] This is valid up to ignoring the higher-order loop corrections which are of  $\mathcal{O}(N_c m_{3/2}^2 \Lambda^2 / (16\pi^2)^2)$  [7]. In fact, we suspect that in the higher-order calculation  $V_1$  is replaced by the vacuum energy density which includes the higher loop effects and thus is zero up to electroweak and QCD effects [8].
- [7] K. Choi, J.E. Kim and H.P. Nilles, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73**, 1758 (1994).
- [8] K. Choi and C. Muñoz, in preparation.
- [9] M. Dine and N. Seiberg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **55**, 366 (1985); V.S. Kaplunovsky, *ibid.* **55**, 1036 (1985).
- [10] E. Caceres, V.S. Kaplunovsky and I. M. Mandelberg, *hep-th/9606036*.
- [11] The importance of a large number of chiral multiplets was first pointed out in the context of the one-loop correction to the cosmological constant in [7].
- [12] This possibility was noted also in H.P. Nilles and N. Polonsky, *hep-ph/9707249*.

- [13] I. Antoniadis, E. Gava, K.S. Narain and T.R. Taylor, *Nucl. Phys.* **B432**, 187 (1994).
- [14] V.S. Kaplunovsky and J. Louis, *Phys. Lett.* **B306**, 269 (1993).
- [15] A. Brignole, L.E. Ibáñez and C. Muñoz, *Nucl. Phys.* **B422**, 125 (1994) [Erratum: **B436** (1995) 747].
- [16] For another source of non-universality due to superstring loop effects on  $\tilde{K}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ , see J. Louis and Y. Nir, *Nucl. Phys.* **B447**, 18 (1995).